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Data Flow Reversal I

Objective and Learning Outcomes

Objective

▶ Formulation of Data Flow Reversal problem as **DAG Reversal** and proof of NP-completeness

Learning Outcomes

▶ You will understand
  ▶ **DAG Reversal**
  ▶ **Minimum Memory Data Flow Reversal**

▶ You will be able to
  ▶ reproduce the proof of NP completeness.
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Objective and Learning Outcomes

Data Flow Reversal

Computational Complexity of Data Flow Reversal

- DAG Reversal
- Vertex Cover
- Proof of NP-Completeness (Step 1)
- Proof of NP-Completeness (Step 2)

Summary and Next Steps
We consider implementations of multivariate vector functions

\[ F : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m : y = F(x) \]

as (numerical computer) programs.

Such programs decompose into sequences of \( q = p + m \) elemental functions \( \varphi_j \) evaluated as a single assignment code\(^1\)

\[ v_j = \varphi_j(v_k)_{k \prec j} \quad \text{for } j = n, \ldots, n + q - 1 \]

and where \( v_i = x_i \) for \( i = 0, \ldots, n - 1 \), w.l.o.g., \( y_k = v_{n+p+k} \) for \( k = 0, \ldots, m - 1 \) and \( k \prec j \) if \( v_k \) is an argument of \( \varphi_j \).

A directed acyclic graph (DAG) \( G = (V = X \cup Z \cup Y, E) \) is induced such that \( |X| = n, |Z| = p \) and \( |Y| = m \).

---

\(^1\)Variables are written once.
Data Flow Reversal

Example

\[ t = x_0 \cdot \sin(x_0 \cdot x_1) \]
\[ x_0 = \cos(t) \]
\[ x_1 = \frac{t}{x_1} \]

\[ \nu_0 = x_0 \]
\[ \nu_1 = x_1 \]
\[ \nu_2 = \nu_0 \cdot \nu_1 \]
\[ \nu_3 = \sin(\nu_2) \]
\[ \nu_4 = \nu_0 \cdot \nu_3 \]
\[ \nu_5 = \cos(\nu_4) \]
\[ \nu_6 = \frac{\nu_4}{\nu_1} \]
\[ x_0 = \nu_5 \]
\[ x_1 = \nu_6 \]

A data flow reversal recovers the results of the elemental functions evaluated by a program in reverse order. Relevant applications include debugging and adjoint algorithmic differentiation.
Data Flow Reversal

Problem Formulation

The data flow reversal problem aims for recovery of the results of the elemental functions evaluated by a program in reverse order such that for a given upper bound \( \text{MEM} \) on the available persistent memory the computational cost is minimized.

The computational cost \( \text{(COST)} \) is defined as the sum of the number of elemental function evaluations \( \text{(OPS)} \) to be performed in addition to a single evaluation of the program (requiring \( |Z \cup Y| \) elemental function evaluations) and the number of write accesses to persistent memory.

We assume vanishing cost for the strictly sequential read accesses to memory (\( \rightarrow \) prefetching).

W.l.o.g, we assume only persistently stored values to be available for data flow reversal, i.e., even \( v_{n+q-1} \) is not automatically available following the initial evaluation of the program as the data flow reversal might not follow immediately.
Borderline cases are

- **store-all**: Results of all elemental functions are pushed onto a stack. Recovery implies reversal. \( \text{MEM} = |V| \) is maximized while \( \text{OPS} = |Z \cup Y| \) is minimized and \( \text{COST} = \text{MEM} \), e.g., requiring \( \text{MEM} \geq 7 \) in the previous example.

- **recompute-all**: Results of all elemental functions are recomputed in reverse order as functions of the persistent inputs to the program, respectively. \( \text{MEM} = |X| \) is minimized while \( \text{OPS} = O(|Z \cup Y|^2) \) is maximized and \( \text{COST} = \text{MEM} + \text{OPS} \). e.g., requiring \( \text{MEM} = 2 \) in the previous example.

A data flow reversal needs to recompute nonpersistent values from persistent values at locally quadratic (in the length of the longest path connecting the corresponding vertices in the DAG) \( \text{OPS} \), e.g., for \( \text{MEM} = 2 \) we get \( \text{OPS} = 4 + 4 + 3 + 2 + 1 = 14 \).
Let $\text{MEM} = 3$ allowing for persistent storage of one value in addition to the two inputs, e.g., $v_0, v_1, v_4$.

- compute all and store $v_0, v_1, v_4 \Rightarrow \text{COST} = 3$
- compute $v_6$ from $v_1$ and $v_4 \Rightarrow \text{COST} = 4$
- compute $v_5$ from $v_4 \Rightarrow \text{COST} = 5$
- $v_4$ is available
- EITHER:
  - compute $v_3$ from $v_0$ and $v_1 \Rightarrow \text{COST} = 7$
  - compute $v_2$ from $v_0$ and $v_1 \Rightarrow \text{COST} = 8$
  - $v_1$ and $v_0$ are available
- OR:
  - compute $v_2$ from $v_0$ and $v_1$ and store it
    \( \Rightarrow \text{COST} = 7 \)
  - compute $v_3$ from $v_2 \Rightarrow \text{COST} = 8$
  - $v_2, v_1$ and $v_0$ are available
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Summary and Next Steps
The data flow reversal problem is also known as **DAG Reversal**: 

Given a DAG and two integers $C, MEM > 0$, is there a data flow reversal that uses at most $MEM \leq MEM$ memory units and yields a computational cost of $COST \leq C$?

**DAG Reversal** is NP-complete.


Part of the proof is by reduction from **Vertex Cover**.
**Vertex Cover** problem: Given a graph $G = (V, E)$ is there a subset $W \subseteq V$ of size $\omega \leq \Omega$, s.t. each edge in $E$ is incident with at least one vertex from $W$?

**Vertex Cover** is NP-complete.

**Proof:** [Garey/Johnson (1979)]

**Vertex Cover** for DAGs is NP-complete.

**Proof:** Enumerate vertices and make edges directed s.t. $(i, j) \in E \iff i < j$.

\[ q.e.d. \]
Proof

Step 1

The proof proceeds in two stages.

1. We show that asking for minimal \( MEM \) while keeping minimal \( COST = |V| \) is NP-complete.

2. We show that an algorithm for DAG Reversal solves the above efficiently. Hence, DAG Reversal cannot be easier than 1.

**Minimum Memory Data Flow Reversal (MMDFR):**

Given a DAG \( G = (V, E) \) and an integer \( MEM > 0 \), is there a data flow reversal with \( COST = |V| \) and \( MEM \leq MEM \)?

MMDFR is NP-complete.

An algorithm for this decision version of MMDFR implies an algorithm for the corresponding optimization version.
Proof
Decision vs. Optimization

A maximum of $|V|$ solutions of the decision problem solves the optimization problem.

Example

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{MEM} &= 7 \rightarrow \text{store-all} \\
\text{MEM} &= 6 \rightarrow \text{(e.g.) recompute } v_3 \\
\text{MEM} &= 5 \rightarrow \text{(e.g.) recompute } v_2 \text{ and } v_5 \\
\text{MEM} &= 4 \rightarrow \text{(e.g.) recompute } v_3, v_5, \text{ and } v_6 \\
\text{MEM} \leq 3 \rightarrow \text{no solution}
\end{align*}
\]
(Polynomial) Reduction from Vertex Cover to MMDFR is by enumeration of vertices (⇒ DAG) and horizontal split of minimal vertices (⇒ $G'$).

We claim that there is a solution for MMDFR on $G'$ with $\bar{MEM} = \Omega + |X|$ if and only if there is a solution for Vertex Cover with $\Omega$ on $G$. 
Proof
If And Only If

Obviously, $|V|$ is a sharp lower bound for $COST$ as the recovery of each value (either by persistent storage during the initial evaluation of the program or by recomputation using at least a single elemental function evaluation) has at least unit cost; Store-all reaches the bound.

“$\Leftarrow$” Consider a solution for $\text{Vertex Cover}$ ($|W| \leq \Omega$). Each edge is incident with at least one vertex in $W$. Hence, predecessors of vertices from $V \setminus W$ are in $W$. Values corresponding to vertices in $W$ can be stored persistently at unit cost and they can be recovered for free. Nonpersistent values corresponding to vertices in $V \setminus W$ can be recomputed at unit cost. Values of inputs need to be stored persistently in any case yielding a data flow reversal with $COST = |V|$ and $MEM \leq M\tilde{E}M = \Omega + |X|$.

“$\Rightarrow$” Consider a solution for $\text{MMDFR}$ ($MEM \leq M\tilde{E}M = \Omega + |X|$). Nonpersistent values need to be recomputed at unit cost. Hence, their predecessors of the corresponding vertices need to be stored. The set $W$ of vertices corresponding to persistent values is a vertex cover in $G$ with $|W| \leq \Omega$.

q.e.d.
Reuse of persistent memory implies recomputation and thus breaks the fixed COST assumption of MMDFR, e.g,

- compute all and store $v_0, v_1, v_4 \Rightarrow COST = 3$
- compute $v_6$ from $v_1$ and $v_4 \Rightarrow COST = 4$
- compute $v_5$ from $v_4 \Rightarrow COST = 5$
- $v_4$ is available
- compute $v_2$ from $v_0$ and $v_1$ and overwrite $v_4 \Rightarrow COST = 7$
- compute $v_3$ from $v_2 \Rightarrow COST = 8 > 7$
- $v_2, v_1$ and $v_0$ are available
Proof

Step 2

An algorithm for \textit{DAG Reversal} can be used to solve \textit{MMDFR} as follows:

For $\overline{\text{MEM}} = |V|$ there is a solution of \textit{DAG Reversal} with $\text{COST} = |V|$ (e.g, store-all).

Decrease $\overline{\text{MEM}}$ by one at a time for as long as there is a solution with $\text{COST} = |V|$. The smallest $\overline{\text{MEM}}$ for which such a solution exists is the solution of the minimization version of \textit{MMDFR}.

Hence, we need to solve at most $|V|$ instances of \textit{DAG Reversal} to solve \textit{MMDFR}.

\textit{MMDFR} cannot be intractable while \textit{DAG Reversal} is not (or $\text{P=NP}$ and all \textit{NP}-complete problems become tractable).

\textit{q.e.d.}
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Summary

▶ Formulation of Data Flow Reversal problem as **DAG Reversal** and proof of NP-completeness including
  ▶ **Minimum Memory Data Flow Reversal**
  ▶ Reduction from **Vertex Cover**

Next Steps

▶ Reproduce the proof of NP completeness.
▶ Continue the course to find out more ...